Jeffrey S. Mutnick, OSB #721784 jmutnick@mutnicklaw.com 737 SW Vista Ave. Portland, OR 97205 503-595-1033

Devin N. Robinson, OSB #064879 <u>devin@nwtriallaw.com</u> 6110 N Lombard St., Suite B Portland, OR 97203 503-228-7020

Of Attorneys for Plaintiff Kathleen Buczkowski

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KATHLEEN BUCZKOWSKI, an individual,

Plaintiff

VS.

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., a Connecticut corporation; METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a New York corporation; OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and VIACOM, INC., a Delaware corporation, as successor-by-merger to CBS Corporation formerly known as Westinghouse Electric Corporation; FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION, a New York corporation; GOULDS PUMPS (IPG), INC. successor in interest to Gould's Pumps, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, a New Jersey corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:12-cv-02042-HU

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY (Strict Liability, Negligence)

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

PARTIES

1.

a) Plaintiff is an individual and a citizen of the State of Oregon.

Page 1 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT – PERSONAL INJURY

JEFFREY S. MUTNICK Jeffrey S. Mirmick Law Offices 737 SW Vista Avenue Portland, OR 97205 503 595-1033; 503 224-9430 (factoriale) IMETNICK@METNICKLAW-COM Case 3:12-cv-02042-HZ Document 9 Filed 12/05/12 Page 2 of 7

b) Defendant General Electric Co. is a Connecticut corporation that was engaged in the

manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-containing products including, but not

limited to, generators, turbines and associated gaskets, sealants and insulation.

c) Defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company is a New York corporation that engaged in

the business of providing a variety of insurance products, including life insurance, casualty and

liability insurance, and workers' compensation insurance to a variety of customers, including

corporations engaged in the manufacture, distribution, and sale of asbestos and asbestos-

containing products. Beginning in approximately 1929 and continuing thereafter, Metropolitan

Life Insurance Company, at the request of, and as part of its business service to, one or more of

its insurance customers, conducted or commissioned studies and medical research, medical

investigations, medical studies, and collection of medical and epidemiological data, concerning

the disease producing capabilities of asbestos and asbestos-containing materials. In the 1930s

and 1940s, Metropolitan Life directly transmitted and indirectly disseminated the results of such

investigation and studies to a variety of individuals and industrial companies involved in

asbestos-related industries, including one or more of the defendants named herein. These

medical investigation studies and information included substantial evidence of the disease-

producing capability of asbestos and asbestos-containing products. Metropolitan Life Insurance

Company thereafter engaged in a continuous and consistent course of conduct suppressing,

minimizing, understating and denying the evidence of disease causing properties of asbestos and

asbestos-related material.

d) Defendant Owens-Illinois, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that engaged in the manufacture,

sale, and distribution of asbestos-containing materials including insulation.

e) Defendant Viacom, Inc is a successor-by-merger to CBS Corporation and formerly known

as Westinghouse Electric Corporation, is a Delaware corporation that was engaged in the

Page 2 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY

manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-containing products including, but not

limited to, generators, turbines and associated gaskets, sealants and insulation.

f) Defendant Foster Wheeler Corporation is a New York corporation engaged in the

manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-containing products including, but not limited

to insulation, engines, gaskets, packing, turbines, and related component materials

g) Defendant Gould's Pumps (IPG), was and is a Delaware corporation engaged in the

manufacture, sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-containing products including, but not limited

to pumps and related sealing products.

h) Defendant Ingersoll-Rand was and is a New Jersey corporation engaged in the manufacture,

sale, and/or distribution of asbestos-containing products including, but not limited to pumps,

compressors, valves and related sealing products.

2.

JURISDICTION

This court has jurisdiction under 28 USC §1332 by diversity of citizenship in a matter seeking more than \$75,000.00 in damages.

3.

VENUE

This is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred and therefore venue is predicated upon 28 USC § 1391(b)(2).

4.

FACTS

Plaintiff's father worked in the shipbuilding and construction trades in Oregon,

Page 3 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY

including in Multnomah County, during the 1940's. During this employment, Plaintiff's father worked with asbestos and asbestos-containing materials in the performance of his work, and worked with workers who handled, installed or fabricated asbestos-containing materials. During this time period, Plaintiff was a child who lived in the same household as her father. Plaintiff's father brought asbestos fibers into the home on his clothes and body, exposing Plaintiff to airborne asbestos fibers.

5.

At all material times, some of the Defendants regularly engaged in the business of manufacturing, marketing, selling, distributing, applying, installing, and/or rebranding asbestos-containing materials and products. Some of the defendants required the use of asbestos-containing materials for repair, maintenance and/or installation of their products at locations where the Plaintiff was present and/or where Plaintiff's father was present.

6.

At all material times, the Defendants conducted regular, sustained and not isolated business activity in the State of Oregon.

7.

Defendants' asbestos-containing products and materials released respirable asbestos fibers capable of causing Mesothelioma if inhaled by individuals, including the Plaintiff.

8.

Due to Plaintiff's exposure to Defendants' asbestos-containing products and materials, Plaintiff contracted Mesothelioma.

///

Page 4 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT – PERSONAL INJURY

9.

Plaintiff was diagnosed with Mesothelioma within two years of the filing of this suit.

10.

GENERAL DAMAGES

As a result of the injuries set forth above, Plaintiff sustained general and/or noneconomic damages in the amount of \$750.000.00.

11.

MEDICAL BILLS

Plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, medical bills in an amount to be proved at trial.

12.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Strict Liability)

The asbestos or asbestos-containing products of the Defendant suppliers, manufacturers or distributers were unreasonably dangerous and defective in that:

- (a) The Defendants did not provide sufficient warnings and/or instructions of the harm caused by exposure to the Defendants' asbestos-containing products;
- (b) The asbestos-containing products of the Defendants were capable of causing Mesothelioma if inhaled by individuals, including the Plaintiff.

13.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Negligence)

Defendants were negligent generally and in one or more of the following particulars:

Page 5 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY

(a) The Defendants did not provide sufficient warnings and/or instructions of the harm caused by exposure to the Defendant's asbestos-containing products when the Defendants, prior to the period of the Plaintiff's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products, possessed information concerning the adverse effects and

disease-producing capabilities of those products;

(b) The Defendants failed to withdraw asbestos-containing products from the market prior to the Plaintiff's exposure, when the Defendants possessed information concerning the adverse effects and disease-producing capabilities of those products;

(c) The Defendants failed to determine the level of airborne asbestos fibers emitted by their products when the products were being used by the end user;

(d) The Defendants failed to conduct tests to determine the amount of asbestos to which the Plaintiff, or similarly situated workers, would be exposed, when engaging in the use of the products; and

(e) The Defendants failed to warn individual workers, including the Plaintiff, regarding the hazards associated with the use of the product.

14.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

15.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays the court that it grant the following relief:

a. On her first claim for relief, for non-economic damages of \$750,000.00, and for economic damages for past and future medical expenses in an amount to be

Page 6 of 7- PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - PERSONAL INJURY

determined according to proof at trial;

- b. On her second claim for relief, for non-economic damages of \$750,000.00, for economic damages for past and future medical expenses in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial;
- c. For her legally recoverable costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
- d. For such other and further relief as the court deems equitable and just.

DATED this _5th day of December, 2012.

LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY S. MUTNICK LAW OFFICES OF DEVIN ROBINSON, P.C.

Devin N. Robinson, OSB #064879 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff devin@nwtriallaw.com 503-228-7020

Trial Attorney: Jeffrey S. Mutnick, OSB #721784

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

Devin N. Robinson, OSB #064879 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff devin@nwtriallaw.com 503-228-7020